							SHEET _	UF I
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				ATTY. DOCKET NO. 086887-0035		SERIAL NO. 10/589,921		
(Substitute for form 1449/PTO)				APPLICANT Adam ELGAR, et al.				
				FILING DATE December 04, 2006 GROUP 2876				
			U.S. PATE	NT DOCUMENTS				
EXAMINER'S INITIALS	NO.	Document Number Number-Kind Codes (# Incoms)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY		Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document Pages, Columns, Lines, Relevant Passages or Figures Appear			
	1	5,889,941	03/30/1999	Tushie et al.				
	2	6,968,335	11/22/2005	Bayliss et al.	Bayliss et al.			- 10
	3	7,103,230	09/05/2006	Jam et al.	Jam et al. Benson et al. Dutta et al. Holtz et al. Eigar et al. Ferlow et al. Eigar et al.			
	4	7,576,752	08/18/2009	Benson et al.				
	9	20020152166	10/17/2002	Dutta et al.				
	6	20020175931	11/28/2002	Holtz et al.				- 1)
	7	20040160624	08/19/2004	Elgar et al.				
	9	20070075134	04/05/2007	Perlow et al.				
	9	20070156837	07/05/2007	Elgar et al.				1.0
	10	20070219809	09/20/2007	Peyton				- 1
	11	20080230616	09/25/2008	Elgar et al.	Elgar et al.			
	12	20090052736	02/26/2009	Kacker				
		F	OREIGN PA	TENT DOCUMENTS				
EXAMINER'S INITIALS	CITE NO.	Foreign Patent Document Country Code: -Number 4-Kind Code: (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Where	ages, Columns, Lines Translation Where Relevant Figures Appear Yes		slation No
	13	EP1602072	12/07/2005	Serverside Group Ltd				Ì
	14	WO2006018624	02/23/2006	Serverside Group Ltd et al.				
		•	•	r, Title, Date, Pertinen	•			
EXAMINER'S INITIALS								
	15	Affidavit of Tom Elgar in the Matter of the Opposition to the Grant of Indian Patent Number 230390, May 9, 2010.						
	15	Affidavit of Tom Elgar in the Matter of the Opposition to the Grant of Indian Patent Number 230390, August 31, 2010.						
	17	Opposition Under Section 25(2) of the Indian Patent Act, 1970 Against Indian Patent Number 230390 (Formerly Application Number 3046/CHENP/2005 dated November 17, 2005), Preliminary Submissions, Dr. Steve G. Belovich, March 23, 2010.						7,
	Reply Evidence Under Rule 59 of the Indian Patent Rules, 2003 in the Opposition filed by DIMPLEDOUGH INC. against Indian Patent Number 230390, July 30, 2010.							
		EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED					

[&]quot;EXAMINER. Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 809. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant is unjust cartain or designation number (options): 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.